

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,012	08/28/2001	Sanford D. Altman	OAV-100	6194	
23557	7590 11/18/2003		EXAMI	NER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION 2421 N.W. 41ST STREET			BROWN, MI	BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE A-I	LE, FL 326066669		3764 DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	, 12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	plication No. Applicant(s) 4/941 0/2 Sawford Altra aminer Group Art Unit
	Tichad brom 3764
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXIOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	PIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau 	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-28-95	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D 	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) [-16	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-1b	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
□ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	ious PTO 048
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3 ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the pr ☐ received. 	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	_
☐ received in this national stage application from the Internation	
*Certified copies not received:	·
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

□ Other__

Application/Control Number: 09/941,012

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of
obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending
 Application No. 10/232,806. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Application/Control Number: 09/941,012

Art Unit: 3764

patentably distinct from each other because the claims recited in the present invention are similar in subject matter and scope to the claims recited in co-pending application Ser. No. 10/232,806.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown November 16, 2003

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael G.B

Page 3